



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov
DIN Aug-08

QUALCOMM INCORPORATED
5775 MOREHOUSE DR.
SAN DIEGO CA 92121

COPY MAILED

AUG 11 2008

In re Application of :
Parvathanathan Subrahmanyam :
Application Number: 10/632411 :
Filing Date: 08/01/2003 :
Attorney Docket Number: 020133 :
ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b)¹ filed on June 17, 2008, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

This application became abandoned on June 14, 2008, for failure to timely submit the issue and publication fees as required by the Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due mailed on file a timely reply to the non-final Office action mailed on March 13, 2008, which set a three (3) month shortened statutory period for reply. No extensions of the time for reply in accordance with 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. Notice of Abandonment was mailed on June 24, 2008.

¹ Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

(1) the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In a nonprovisional application filed on or after June 8, 1995, and abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may also be met by the filing of a request for continued examination in compliance with § 1.114. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof. In an application abandoned for failure to pay the publication fee, the required reply must include payment of the publication fee.

(2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

(3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Director may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

(4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).

The statement contained in the instant petition does not set forth that the entire delay from the due date of the required reply to the date of the filing of a grantable petition was unintentional as required by 37 CFR 1.137(b)(3). However, the statement contained in the instant petition is being so construed. Petitioner **must** notify the Office if this is not a correct interpretation.

Receipt of the issue fee and petition fee is acknowledged. The publication fee will be charged to counsel's deposit account as authorized on the Fee(s) Transmittal.

The application is referred to the Office of Data Management for processing into a patent.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3231.



Douglas I. Wood
Senior Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions